

## Evidence Analysis Library: Pediatric Nutrition Screening Validity and Reliability Criteria

What is the validity and reliability of nutrition screening tools for identifying risk of malnutrition related to under- or over-nutrition in the pediatric population?

Table 1. Cut points for interpreting data of pediatric malnutrition screening tools

Criteria for Individual Study Results	Overall Classification for Each Tool
<b>Validity Results</b>	
<b>Se, Sp, PPV, NPV<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>Overall Degree of Se, Sp, PPV, NPV</b>
90 to 100%, <i>Excellent</i>	High
80 to 90%, <i>Good</i>	Moderate
70 to 80%, <i>Fair</i>	Low
60 to 70%, <i>Insufficient</i>	Low
50 to 60%, <i>Poor</i>	Low
<b>Reliability and Agreement Results</b>	
<b>Kappa Value<sup>b</sup></b>	<b>Overall Level of Agreement and Reliability</b>
Above 0.90, <i>Almost Perfect</i>	High
0.80 to 0.90, <i>Strong</i>	High
0.60 to 0.79, <i>Moderate</i>	Moderate
0.40 to 0.59, <i>Weak</i>	Low
0.21 to 0.39, <i>Minimal</i>	Low
0 to 0.20, <i>None</i>	Low
<b>Cronbach's Alpha Value<sup>c</sup></b>	<b>Overall Level of Internal Consistency</b>
$\alpha \geq 0.9$ , <i>Excellent</i>	High
$0.9 > \alpha \geq 0.8$ , <i>Good</i>	High
$0.8 > \alpha \geq 0.7$ , <i>Acceptable</i>	Moderate
$0.7 > \alpha \geq 0.6$ , <i>Questionable</i>	Low
$0.6 > \alpha \geq 0.5$ , <i>Poor</i>	Low
$0.5 > \alpha$ , <i>Unacceptable</i>	Low
<b>ICC Value<sup>d</sup></b>	<b>Overall Level of Test-Retest Reliability</b>
$> 0.90$ , <i>Excellent</i>	High
0.75 to 0.9, <i>Good</i>	High
0.5 to 0.75, <i>Moderate</i>	Moderate
$< 0.5$ , <i>Poor</i>	Low

Abbreviations: Se=sensitivity, Sp=Specificity, PPV=Positive predictive value, NPV=Negative predictive value

<sup>a</sup>Criteria were set based on Neelemaat F, Meijers J, Kruijenga H, van Ballegooijen H, van Bokhorst-de van der Schueren M. Comparison of five malnutrition screening tools in one hospital inpatient sample. *Journal of clinical nursing*. 2011; 20 (15-16): 2,144-2,152. PMID: 21535274.

<sup>b</sup>Criteria were set based on McHugh ML. Interrater reliability: the kappa statistic. *Biochemia medica*. 2012; 22(3): 276-282. PMID: 23092060.

<sup>c</sup> $\alpha$ =alpha. Criteria were set based on Tavakol M, Dennick R. Making sense of Cronbach's alpha. *International journal of medical 420 education*. 2011; 2: 53-55.

<sup>d</sup>ICC=intra-class correlation coefficient. Criteria were set based on Koo TK, Li MY. A Guideline of Selecting and Reporting Intra-class Correlation Coefficients for Reliability Research. *Journal of chiropractic medicine*. 2016; 15(2): 155-163.